

# Internal Assessment Criteria

## Criterion A: Fieldwork question and geographic context

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1	<p>The fieldwork question is not formulated as a question or is not appropriately linked to the relevant syllabus topic or geographical theory.</p> <p>The fieldwork question does not allow for the collection of primary data, does not include a location or is too broad to address within the limits of the internal assessment.</p> <p>No locational map is included or the map is inappropriate for the fieldwork question.</p>
2	<p>The fieldwork question is geographical, <b>identifying</b> an appropriate link to the relevant syllabus topic, the syllabus or geographical theory.</p> <p>The fieldwork question <b>identifies</b> a specific location allowing for the collection of primary data and a question that can be addressed within the limits of an internal assessment.</p> <p>The locational map is a copy of an existing map (for example, internet or satellite map) with too many unnecessary details or lacking mapping conventions.</p>
3	<p>The link between the fieldwork question and the relevant syllabus topic, the syllabus or geographical theory is <b>described</b>. The link made to geographical theory allows for the possible formulation of hypotheses and predictions.</p> <p>The fieldwork question is geographical and focused, clearly <b>identifying</b> a precise location allowing for primary data collection within the limits of the internal assessment.</p> <p>One or more locational maps are presented and follow mapping conventions, providing clear information and details of the fieldwork location.</p>

## Criterion B: Method(s) of investigation

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1	<p>The method(s) used for information and data collection are <b>listed</b> or <b>outlined</b>, but are too general or vague or do not allow for the collection of enough information and data that are relevant to address the question formulated or the hypotheses.</p> <p>Data collection technologies/instruments and sampling/surveying techniques are <b>listed</b> or <b>outlined</b> but are not correctly used.</p>
2	<p>The method(s) used for information and data collection are <b>described</b>, <b>outlining</b> how the data collected is relevant to the question formulated and hypotheses.</p> <p>The method(s), data collection instruments/technologies and sampling/surveying techniques are used correctly and allow for sufficient data for quantitative and/or qualitative analysis, but it may be minimal or only one or two variables are collected.</p>
3	<p>The method(s) used for information and data collection are <b>described</b>, <b>explaining</b> clearly and accurately how the combination of data collected is relevant to the theory, question formulated or the hypotheses for the internal assessment. They may <b>describe</b> statistical tests if appropriate.</p> <p>The method(s), data collection instruments/technologies and sampling/surveying techniques are used correctly, resulting in reliable and good quality primary data supporting a relevant quantitative and/or qualitative analysis.</p>

### Criterion C: Quality and treatment of information collected

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1-2	<p>The information and data collected is mostly not relevant, or not sufficient, to address the question or hypotheses formulated.</p> <p>The information and data have mostly been presented in such a way that is either not appropriate for what has been collected or does not allow for <b>analysis</b> of the question formulated.</p> <p>The graphs, tables, diagrams or other illustrations do not follow conventions (labelling, titles, and so on) or contain frequent errors.</p>
3-4	<p>Most of the information and data collected is relevant to the question formulated or the hypotheses, allowing for partial <b>analysis</b> or answering of the question formulated.</p> <p>The information and data have been presented in ways appropriate for the data type.</p> <p>The graphs, tables, diagrams or other illustrations follow conventions (labelling, titles, and so on), with occasional errors.</p>
5-6	<p>The information and data collected is all directly relevant to the question formulated or the hypotheses, and is sufficient in quantity and quality to allow for <b>analysis</b> or answering of the question formulated.</p> <p>The most appropriate techniques have been used effectively for the presentation of information and data collected.</p> <p>The graphs, tables, diagrams or other illustrations follow conventions (labelling, titles, and so on).</p>

### Criterion D: Written analysis

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1-2	<p>The written analysis includes descriptive techniques that are not all appropriate to the data and the question formulated.</p> <p>The data or information presented is <b>outlined</b> without explicit link to the question or hypotheses formulated. Obvious trends and patterns are <b>listed</b>.</p>
3-4	<p>The written analysis includes descriptive techniques that are appropriate to the data and the question formulated. Any statistical techniques used either are not relevant to the question formulated or contain errors.</p> <p>The data and information, trends and patterns presented are <b>described</b> and linked explicitly to the question or hypotheses formulated.</p> <p>The written analysis allows for answering the question formulated in a descriptive way.</p>
5-6	<p>The written analysis includes descriptive and statistical techniques (if appropriate to the question formulated) that are appropriate to the data and the question formulated.</p> <p>The data and information, trends, patterns and statistics are <b>described</b> and linked explicitly to the question or hypotheses formulated. Outliers and anomalies in the data, if present, are <b>listed</b>.</p> <p>The written analysis allows for answering the question formulated, although there are gaps in the supporting evidence.</p>
7-8	<p>The written analysis includes descriptive and statistical techniques (with confidence levels if appropriate) that are appropriate to the data and the question formulated.</p> <p>The trends, patterns and statistics found, including outliers and anomalies if present, are <b>explained</b> and linked to the question formulated, hypotheses, geographical theory, the fieldwork location and methods used.</p> <p>The written analysis allows for answering the question formulated, with no or only minor gaps in the supporting evidence.</p>

### Criterion E: Conclusion

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1	A conclusion to the fieldwork question is formulated, which is partially supported by the analysis.
2	There is a clear conclusion to the fieldwork question, which is supported by the analysis.

### Criterion F: Evaluation

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.
1	Strengths and/or weaknesses of the data collection methods and suggestions for improvement are <b>listed</b> , but these are mostly superficial, not appropriate, or not relevant to the study.
2	Strengths and/or weaknesses of the data collection methods and suggestions for improvement are <b>outlined</b> , and these are mostly appropriate and relevant to the study.
3	The most appropriate and relevant strengths and/or weaknesses are <b>explained</b> regarding the data collection methods, the formulation of the fieldwork research question, the presentation of data/information and the choice of location. Suggestions for improvement are <b>outlined</b> and the potential impact of these improvements is <b>explained</b> .